

Equality Impact Analysis to enable informed decisions

The purpose of this document is to:-

- I. help decision makers fulfil their duties under the Equality Act 2010 and
- II. for you to evidence the positive and adverse impacts of the proposed change on people with protected characteristics and ways to mitigate or eliminate any adverse impacts.

Using this form

This form must be updated and reviewed as your evidence on a proposal for a project/service change/policy/commissioning of a service or decommissioning of a service evolves taking into account any consultation feedback, significant changes to the proposals and data to support impacts of proposed changes. The key findings of the most up to date version of the Equality Impact Analysis must be explained in the report to the decision maker and the Equality Impact Analysis must be attached to the decision making report.

****Please make sure you read the information below so that you understand what is required under the Equality Act 2010****

Equality Act 2010

The Equality Act 2010 applies to both our workforce and our customers. Under the Equality Act 2010, decision makers are under a personal duty, to have due (that is proportionate) regard to the need to protect and promote the interests of persons with protected characteristics.

Protected characteristics

The protected characteristics under the Act are: age; disability; gender reassignment; marriage and civil partnership; pregnancy and maternity; race; religion or belief; sex; sexual orientation.

Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010

Section 149 requires a public authority to have due regard to the need to:

- Eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation, and any other conduct that is prohibited by/or under the Act
- Advance equality of opportunity between persons who share relevant protected characteristics and persons who do not share those characteristics
- Foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.

The purpose of Section 149 is to get decision makers to consider the impact their decisions may or will have on those with protected characteristics and by evidencing the impacts on people with protected characteristics decision makers should be able to demonstrate 'due regard'.

Decision makers duty under the Act

Having had careful regard to the Equality Impact Analysis, and also the consultation responses, decision makers are under a personal duty to have due regard to the need to protect and promote the interests of persons with protected characteristics (see above) and to:-

- (i) consider and analyse how the decision is likely to affect those with protected characteristics, in practical terms,
- (ii) remove any unlawful discrimination, harassment, victimisation and other prohibited conduct,
- (iii) consider whether practical steps should be taken to mitigate or avoid any adverse consequences that the decision is likely to have, for persons with protected characteristics and, indeed, to consider whether the decision should not be taken at all, in the interests of persons with protected characteristics,
- (iv) consider whether steps should be taken to advance equality, foster good relations and generally promote the interests of persons with protected characteristics, either by varying the recommended decision or by taking some other decision.

Conducting an Impact Analysis

The Equality Impact Analysis is a process to identify the impact or likely impact a project, proposed service change, commissioning, decommissioning or policy will have on people with protected characteristics listed above. It should be considered at the beginning of the decision making process.

The Lead Officer responsibility

This is the person writing the report for the decision maker. It is the responsibility of the Lead Officer to make sure that the Equality Impact Analysis is robust and proportionate to the decision being taken.

Summary of findings

You must provide a clear and concise summary of the key findings of this Equality Impact Analysis in the decision making report and attach this Equality Impact Analysis to the report.

Impact – definition

An impact is an intentional or unintentional lasting consequence or significant change to people's lives brought about by an action or series of actions.

How much detail to include?

The Equality Impact Analysis should be proportionate to the impact of proposed change. In deciding this asking simple questions “Who might be affected by this decision?” “Which protected characteristics might be affected?” and “How might they be affected?” will help you consider the extent to which you already have evidence, information and data, and where there are gaps that you will need to explore. Ensure the source and date of any existing data is referenced.

You must consider both obvious and any less obvious impacts. Engaging with people with the protected characteristics will help you to identify less obvious impacts as these groups share their perspectives with you.

A given proposal may have a positive impact on one or more protected characteristics and have an adverse impact on others. You must capture these differences in this form to help decision makers to arrive at a view as to where the balance of advantage or disadvantage lies. If an adverse impact is unavoidable then it must be clearly justified and recorded as such, with an explanation as to why no steps can be taken to avoid the impact. Consequences must be included.

Proposals for more than one option If more than one option is being proposed you must ensure that the Equality Impact Analysis covers all options. Depending on the circumstances, it may be more appropriate to complete an Equality Impact Analysis for each option.

The information you provide in this form must be sufficient to allow the decision maker to fulfil their role as above. You must include the latest version of the Equality Impact Analysis with the report to the decision maker. Please be aware that the information in this form must be able to stand up to legal challenge.

Background Information

Title of the policy / project / service being considered	Changes to the provision of Household Waste Recycling Centres and voluntary recycling credits	Person / people completing analysis	Sean Kent
Service Area	Environmental Services	Lead Officer	Sean Kent
Who is the decision maker?	Cllr Reg Shore, Executive Councillor for Waste & Recycling	How was the Equality Impact Analysis undertaken?	Consideration of the present 12 mile HWRC policy and the voluntary recycling provision
Date of meeting when decision will be made	11/03/2016	Version control	2
Is this proposed change to an existing policy/service/project or is it new?	Existing policy/service/project	LCC directly delivered, commissioned, re-commissioned or de-commissioned?	De-commissioned
Describe the proposed change	<p>The proposed changes are to remove the provision of Household Waste Recycling Centres at Leadenham and Whisby. These are additional facilities to the requirement of 95% of the Lincolnshire population being within 12 miles of a Household Waste Recycling Centre. There are still 11 Household Waste Recycling Centres covering Lincolnshire which provide coverage as required by the policy, in consideration of the identified budget cuts.</p> <p>The proposed changes are to stop the provision of non-statutory Saturday supplementary provisions at Mablethorpe, Long Sutton and Stamford. These payments were in lieu of the provision of a Household Waste Recycling Centre which was to be considered in the future.</p> <p>The proposed changes are to stop the payments to North Lincolnshire Council regarding the use of their Household Waste Recycling Centres at Kirton Lindsey and Barnetby Top by residents of West Lindsey District Council, as there is now a LCC owned Household Waste Recycling Centre at Market Rasen.</p> <p>The proposed changes are to stop voluntary groups recycling credit payments as this is a discretionary service, in consideration the required budget reductions.</p>		

The Authority will continue to meet statutory responsibilities and strive to continue the high level of service currently provided.

Evidencing the impacts

In this section you will explain the difference that proposed changes are likely to make on people with protected characteristics. To help you do this first consider the impacts the proposed changes may have on people without protected characteristics before then considering the impacts the proposed changes may have on people with protected characteristics.

You must evidence here who will benefit and how they will benefit. If there are no benefits that you can identify please state 'No perceived benefit' under the relevant protected characteristic. You can add sub categories under the protected characteristics to make clear the impacts. For example under Age you may have considered the impact on 0-5 year olds or people aged 65 and over, under Race you may have considered Eastern European migrants, under Sex you may have considered specific impacts on men.

Data to support impacts of proposed changes

When considering the equality impact of a decision it is important to know who the people are that will be affected by any change.

Population data and the Joint Strategic Needs Assessment

The Lincolnshire Research Observatory (LRO) holds a range of population data by the protected characteristics. This can help put a decision into context. Visit the LRO website and its population theme page by following this link: <http://www.research-lincs.org.uk> If you cannot find what you are looking for, or need more information, please contact the LRO team. You will also find information about the Joint Strategic Needs Assessment on the LRO website.

Workforce profiles

You can obtain information by many of the protected characteristics for the Council's workforce and comparisons with the labour market on the [Council's website](#). As of 1st April 2015, managers can obtain workforce profile data by the protected characteristics for their specific areas using Agresso.

Positive impacts

The proposed change may have the following positive impacts on persons with protected characteristics – If no positive impact, please state '*no positive impact*'.

Age	No positive impact
Disability	No positive impact
Gender reassignment	No positive impact
Marriage and civil partnership	No positive impact
Pregnancy and maternity	No positive impact
Race	No positive impact
Religion or belief	No positive impact

Sex	No positive impact
Sexual orientation	No positive impact

If you have identified positive impacts for other groups not specifically covered by the protected characteristics in the Equality Act 2010 you can include them here if it will help the decision maker to make an informed decision.

None

Adverse/negative impacts

You must evidence how people with protected characteristics will be adversely impacted and any proposed mitigation to reduce or eliminate adverse impacts. An adverse impact causes disadvantage or exclusion. If such an impact is identified please state how, as far as possible, it is justified; eliminated; minimised or counter balanced by other measures.

If there are no adverse impacts that you can identify please state 'No perceived adverse impact' under the relevant protected characteristic.

Negative impacts of the proposed change and practical steps to mitigate or avoid any adverse consequences on people with protected characteristics are detailed below. If you have not identified any mitigating action to reduce an adverse impact please state 'No mitigating action identified'.

Age	<p>Impact – older people may potentially have to travel further to deposit waste in a HWRC. This is likely to be more difficult for them than it would be for a person without the same protected characteristic.</p> <p>Mitigation – No specific mitigation. However, the Council provides 11 good quality HWRCs within a 12 mile radius which have facilities which are more extensive and easier to use than the facilities it is proposed to remove. The sites are open and available to all regardless of protected characteristics and staff are available on site to assist all users including elderly or disabled users </p>
Disability	<p>Impact – Disabled people may potentially have to travel further to deposit waste in a HWRC. This is likely to be more difficult for them than it would be for a person without the same protected characteristic.</p> <p>Mitigation – No specific mitigation. However, the Council provides 11 good quality HWRCs within a 12 mile radius which have facilities which are more extensive and easier to use than the facilities it is proposed to remove. The sites are open and available to all regardless of protected characteristics and staff are available on site to assist all users including elderly or disabled users. .</p>
Gender reassignment	<p>No impact identified. The sites are open and available to all regardless of protected characteristics</p>

Marriage and civil partnership	No impact identified. The sites are open and available to all regardless of protected characteristics
Pregnancy and maternity	See Sex below
Race	No impact identified. The sites are open and available to all regardless of protected characteristics
Religion or belief	No impact identified. The sites are open and available to all regardless of protected characteristics
Sex	<p>Impact – Pregnant women and women with young children may potentially have to travel further to deposit waste in a HWRC. This is likely to be more difficult for them than it would be for a person without the same protected characteristic.</p> <p>Mitigation – No specific mitigation. However, the Council provides 11 good quality HWRCs within a 12 mile radius which have facilities which are more extensive and easier to use than the facilities it is proposed to remove. The sites are open and available to all regardless of protected characteristics and staff are available on site to assist all users including women with small children.</p>
Sexual orientation	No impact identified. The sites are open and available to all regardless of protected characteristics

If you have identified negative impacts for other groups not specifically covered by the protected characteristics under the Equality Act 2010 you can include them here if it will help the decision maker to make an informed decision.

None

Stakeholders

Stake holders are people or groups who may be directly affected (primary stakeholders) and indirectly affected (secondary stakeholders)

You must evidence here who you involved in gathering your evidence about benefits, adverse impacts and practical steps to mitigate or avoid any adverse consequences. You must be confident that any engagement was meaningful. The Community engagement team can help you to do this and you can contact them at consultation@lincolnshire.gov.uk

State clearly what (if any) consultation or engagement activity took place by stating who you involved when compiling this EIA under the protected characteristics. Include organisations you invited and organisations who attended, the date(s) they were involved and method of involvement i.e. Equality Impact Analysis workshop/email/telephone conversation/meeting/consultation. State clearly the objectives of the EIA consultation and findings from the EIA consultation under each of the protected characteristics. If you have not covered any of the protected characteristics please state the reasons why they were not consulted/engaged.

Objective(s) of the EIA consultation/engagement activity

The Authority's budget cuts proposals across all Services have been the subject of consultation throughout the County at public meetings. These have allowed the public the opportunity to raise their concerns and questions regarding the budget proposals, including the Waste Services proposals. Apart from these briefings, no specific consultation has been carried out in relation to these proposals or to the equality impacts of them. The provision of HWRCs is a universal service in the sense that although they may generally serve a particular catchment they are available to the public at large both within and outside that catchment. There is no duty to provide the countywide voluntary recycling credits services, which could thus be seen simply as a charitable donation. The HWRC service is provided to all Lincolnshire residents and there is no identifiable grouping who by virtue of their relationship to the service might be expected to be consulted on the change. This is particularly the case when the change is one that brings the HWRC services in these areas into line with a 12 mile policy that applies to the whole of the county and which is itself lawful and has been in place since 2012.

Who was involved in the EIA consultation/engagement activity? Detail any findings identified by the protected characteristic


Age	N/A
Disability	N/A
Gender reassignment	N/A
Marriage and civil partnership	N/A
Pregnancy and maternity	N/A
Race	N/A
Religion or belief	N/A

Sex	N/A
Sexual orientation	N/A
<p>Are you confident that everyone who should have been involved in producing this version of the Equality Impact Analysis has been involved in a meaningful way?</p> <p>The purpose is to make sure you have got the perspective of all the protected characteristics.</p>	Yes
<p>Once the changes have been implemented how will you undertake evaluation of the benefits and how effective the actions to reduce adverse impacts have been?</p>	There are no identified benefits as this is reduction in the Waste Services provision. Any potential for adverse impacts will be monitored through analysis of usage figures from the Council's remaining HWRCs

Further Details

Are you handling personal data?	<p>No</p> <p>If yes, please give details.</p>
--	---

Page 71

Actions required	Action	Lead officer	Timescale
Include any actions identified in this analysis for on-going monitoring of impacts.	N/A	Sean Kent	N/A
Signed off by		Date	02/03/2016

This page is intentionally left blank